

REMARKS

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

In the above referenced Office Action, the Examiner divided the claims into the following Species:

Claims 1, 19 and 37 are generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species:

A. Claims 2-5, 20-23, and 38-41, drawn to compression means and compressio of the of the file pages in the streamed applications.

B. Claims 6-9, 24-27, and 42-45, drawn to profiling means for the access patterns of the streamed applications.

C. Claims 10-13, 28-31, and 46-48, drawn to a providing a separate license server for validation of access rights.

D. Claims 14, 18, 32, 36, 50, and 54, drawn to a persistent internet connection and communication of the server and clients over the internet.

E. Claims 15-17, 33-35, and 51-53, drawn to assigning individual servers a specific set of streamed applications.

In response, the applicant provisionally elects Species B., Claims 6-9, 24-27, and 42-45, with traverse because there would be no undue burden for the Examiner to search each species. Claims 1-5, 10-23, 28-41 and 46-54 are withdrawn.


Conclusion

If the Examiner believes that a conference would be of value in expediting the prosecution of this application, he is cordially invited to telephone the undersigned counsel at (650) 838-4305 to arrange for such a conference.

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 50-2207, under Order No. 30126-8016.US01 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: September 21, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By 

William F. Ahmann

Registration No.: 52,548
PERKINS COIE LLP
101 Jefferson Drive
Menlo Park, California 94025-1114
(650) 838-4300
(650) 838-4350 (Fax)
Attorney for Applicant